

Call for a theme session in ICLC 18

Title: “Figurativity, Rhetoric and the Study of Constructions”

Theme session organizers:

Angeliki Athanasiadou: angath@enl.auth.gr

Mingjian Xiang: wesleyxmj@vip.163.com

Submission Deadline: 08-Oct-2026

We are preparing a proposal for a theme session at the 18th International Cognitive Linguistics Conference (ICLC 2027) in Germany

Figurative mechanisms can be examined in different constructions, in discourse, and they reveal different stages of consciousness, “Because they directly activate semantics, tropes are rhetorical figures that guide our thoughts and shape our perceptions, sometimes condensing them, sometimes merging them, sometimes pushing them into extremes or oppositions, among their conceptual effects” (Harris 2023: 241). The theme session aims to bring together researchers working on the relationship between human cognitive operations and rhetorical practices.

Those of us who work on figurative mechanisms, central, marginal or neglected ones, necessarily delve into issues of grammatical constructions and sociocultural interaction. However, a rhetorical characterization of such instances seems indispensable. Rhetoric is inevitably linked to persuasive, argumentative and viewpointed discourse enabled by figurative language. Following Oakley (2009, 2011, 2023: 489), notions of attention management, framing and emotional disposition need to be taken into account.

Key topics we aim to explore, though not limited to the ones below, are the following:

- What is the rhetorical effect on figurative constructions? How are intersubjectivity, empathy, engagement enabled?
- **Attention** can focus on present, past or future; realities can be factual or counterfactual.
- How does **framing** which includes rich encyclopedic and linguistic knowledge guides our thoughts and language?
- **Emotions** are naturally expressed by means of figurative mechanisms. Apart from being biological and cultural descriptions, what is their impact in reasoning?
- It is said there are **four master tropes**, metaphor, metonymy, synecdoche and irony. What about the rest? Are they rhetorical devices only? Will allegory, anadiplosis, antimetabole, litotes, paronomasia, ... among many others be treated as rhetorical devices only? Or will there be an attempt to investigate their cognitive need and impact? (Athanasiadou 2023)
- Could we provide an answer as to what mechanism irony is? (e.g., Gibbs & Colston 2007, 2023; Athanasiadou & Colston 2017, 2020) In addition to irony (Tobin & Israel 2012), simile (Dancygier 2022) and rhetorical question (Xiang 2023), what other figures also involve a viewpoint configuration?

- Figures are named tropes, moves, schemes or strategies. Is it only a terminological issue?
- Will figures be said to form subordinate entities under a superordinate “mega”-figure? For instance, metonymy, synecdoche, and their frames: what is the distinctive line between the three?
- Can we have a close association between cognitive mechanisms and rhetorical reasoning?
- Can grammaticality and rhetoric be bridged? What effects can be achieved through the rhetorical use of grammatical constructions?
- How do figures interact with each other in a rhetorical discourse?

We welcome expressions of interest from researchers who would like to contribute to the proposed theme session. Researchers interested in participating are invited to send the following:

- Name and affiliation
- Tentative title of the presentation
- Abstract of approximately 100 words

Please send the above information to both of us by October 8, 2026.

The theme session proposal will be submitted to the ICLC organizers by October 15, 2026. The proposed theme session will consist of 6-10 papers, in accordance with the ICLC guidelines. If the theme session is accepted, individual contributors will subsequently submit their full abstracts for anonymous peer review in the general submission round.

Should you have any further inquiries, do not hesitate to contact us (angath@enl.auth.gr) and (wesleyxmj@vip.163.com)

Athanasiadou, A. (Guest Ed.). (2023). On the Margins of Figurative Thought and Language [Special issue]. *Lingua*. Elsevier.

Athanasiadou, A., & Colston, H. L. (Eds.). (2017). *Irony in Language Use and Communication*. John Benjamins.

Athanasiadou, A., & Colston, H. L. (Eds.). (2020). *The Diversity of Irony*. Walter de Gruyter.

Dancygier, B. (2022). Experiential Viewpoint, Simile, and Dynamicity in discourse. In: H. L. Colston, T. Matlock, & G. J. Steen (Eds.), *Dynamism in Metaphor and Beyond*, 205-222.

Gibbs, R. W., Jr., & Colston, H. L. (Eds.). (2007). *Irony in Language and Thought: A Cognitive Science Reader*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Gibbs, R. W., Jr., & Colston, H. L. (Eds.). (2023). *The Cambridge Handbook of Irony and Thought*. Cambridge University Press.

Harris, R. A. 2023. The Tropes. Metaphor and Its Friends. In: J. Fahnestock & R. A. Harris (Eds.) *The Routledge Handbook of Language and Persuasion*, 227-245.

Oakley, T. (2009). *From Attention to Meaning: Explorations in Semiotics, Linguistics, and Rhetoric*. Peter Lang.

Oakley, T. (2011). Attention and Rhetoric. In: C. Meyer & F. Girke (Eds.), *The Rhetorical Emergence of Culture*, 282-303.

- Oakley, T. 2023. Grounding Cognition. Intersections of Rhetorical Theory and Cognitive Science. In: J. Fahnestock & R. A. Harris (Eds.) *The Routledge Handbook of Language and Persuasion*, 488-502.
- Tobin, V., & Israel, M. (2012). Irony as a Viewpoint Phenomenon. In: B. Dancygier & E. Sweetser (Eds.), *Viewpoint in Language: A Multimodal Perspective*, 25-46.
- Xiang, M. (2023). *Fictive Questions in the Zhuangzi: A Cognitive Rhetorical Study*. John Benjamins.